A fast, easy, foolproof method is needed for topworking fruiting grapevines to a better variety high above ground level (that is, just below the bottom trellis wire). High-level grafting with the bark graft or the wedge graft requires time, considerable skill, and two or more applications of a good grafting compound. Usually, less than 90 percent of the grafts take.

T-budding has been the common practice for years to change varieties in young deciduous fruit trees, either in the fall, when the bark is still slipping, or in the spring. Branches budded in the fall are cut off above the bud the following spring to force the bud. Branches or young scaffolds budded in the spring may be cut off 25 to 30 cm (10 to 12 inches) beyond the bud at the time the bud is inserted, and cut back to 2 to 4 cm (1 to 1½ inches) about 10 days later.

T-budding is also the most common form of budding in the nursery row in May and June on seedling fruit tree understocks or rooted cuttings of resistant rootstocks.

On grapevines, T-budding has been used primarily in breeding work. Green buds are inserted into the base of green shoots in early summer so that seedlings will fruit early. T-budding is not satisfactory on grapevines under 1 inch in diameter, because the bark is very thin and tears easily, and most grape buds are too large to be easily inserted under the bark.

Dormant mature buds may be used for T-budding at ground level on rootstocks that are too large for chip-budding (over 1 inch in diameter). There is also a way to T-bud dormant mature vines at a high level.

T-budding experiments

In 1975, it was reported that growers in Mexico were successfully using a dormant T-bud wrapped with plastic tape on fruiting vines at a high level. The technique was developed in Argentina.

On June 11, 1976, we started research at the Kearney Horticultural Field Station, Parlier, California, to see if the T-budding method of topworking was better than the wedge-grafting technique. Six-year-old vines of St. Émilion were T-budded to Carignane. The budwood, collected in December 1975, had been wetted thoroughly, placed in 6-mil, 90 cm by 150 cm plastic bags, and refrigerated at 0°C to 1.5°C (32°F to 34°F) until used. The tops of the vines were cut off about 90 cm (3 feet) above the ground, or about 30 cm (12 inches) below the bottom wire of a two-wire vertical trellis. Vines were 3.7 to 5 cm (1½ to 2 inches) in diameter.

Two T-buds were inserted into each vine—one opposite sides, in line with the row. Buds were inserted 10 to 15 cm (4 to 6 inches) below the cut-off tops of most vines and 2 to 3 cm (½ to 1 inch) below the cut-off tops of a few others.

On July 28, 78 of the 100 buds that had been inserted were growing. On most of the vines, both buds were growing. Shoots varied in length from 2 to 3 cm (¼ inch) to a few at about 180 cm (7 feet); most were 75 to 90 cm (30 to 36 inches) long.

Vines with buds inserted 2 to 3 cm (¼ inch) from the top grew the most. Those with buds inserted 10 to 15 cm (4 to 6 inches) below the top usually had one strong shoot; the second bud either remained dormant or produced a weak shoot.

The heavy growth of watersprouts at the top of the vines and on the trunks was removed after the July 28 inspection. Those vines that had been budded 10 to 15 cm (4 to 6 inches) below the top were topped to within 2 to 3 cm (¼ inch) of the buds.

By August 31, 90 percent of the grafts had taken and produced shoots. As workers become more familiar with the technique, the 95 percent rate of takes reported by Mexican growers can probably be achieved.

T-budding procedure

The vine was decapitated, and all loose bark was removed from the area on the vine trunk where the incision was to be made. A vertical cut 2 to 4 cm (½ to 1½ inches) long was made, 2 to 3 cm (¼ inch) below the top. A knife designed for T-budding (not chip-budding) was used (fig. 1).

The second cut was made at a right angle (or slightly less) to—and crossing the top of—the first cut. When cutting through the bark, the knife was held at
an angle so that, as the blade crossed
the vertical cut, it tended to peel open
one of the corners of the bark where the
two incisions crossed (fig. 2). The high
point of the knife blade (quill) was then
used to peel open both corners (fig. 3).
The trunk was now ready for the bud to
be inserted (fig. 4).

With a bud stick about 1 cm (1/2
inch) in diameter, the budder made a cut
angled downward into the stick, from
about 2 cm (3/4 inch) above the bud to
about 2 cm below the bud (fig. 5). A sec-
ond angled cut made downward about 1
to 2 cm (1/2 to 3/4 inch) below the bud
met the first cut and severed the bud
from the stick (fig. 6). The second angled
cut below the bud exposes more cambium
surface (fig. 7) for better callusing, which
first occurs at this point.

The bud was inserted under the
open corners of bark, and the base of the
bud shield was pushed well below the
bottom of the vertical cut with the point
of the knife blade (fig. 8). The bud was
then covered with tightly pulled, over-
lapping wraps of white, 4-mil, plastic
flagging tape. Since the understocks were
about 5 cm (2 inches) in diameter, a 2.5-cm
(1-inch) tape was used, starting below the
bud (fig. 9) and wrapping up to about 2 to
3 cm (1 inch) above the horizontal cut
(fig. 10).

The final few wraps were brought
down to just above the bud and tied by
tucking the end of the tape under the last
wrap and pulling tightly to stretch the
tape.

Tape wrapped in this way can be
partially removed later if there is evi-
dence of constriction or girdling of the
shoot. Cutting across the tape up to the
bud on the side of the vine relieves pres-
sure below the bud. The tape will unravel
below but not above the bud because of
the overlapping last tie just above the
bud. The tape should not be cut or re-
moved above the bud until fall, unless
there is evidence of girdling above the
bud.

The tape held the buds tightly in
place and prevented the shoots from
breaking away. When the shoots were
about 45 cm (18 inches) long, they were
fastened to the bottom wire for support.
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